Best Algorithm for Java Developers

Experiment 1, Experimentation & Evaluation 2024

# Abstract

The following experiment evaluates the performance for the four Java sorting algorithms: BubbleSortUntilNoChange, BubbleSortWhileNeeded, QuickSortGPT, and SelectionSortGPT.

This set of algorithms will be evaluated under several factors that may influence their execution time, namely:

**data type** (integer and string);

**data ordering** (best case, average case, and worst case); and

**array size** (small, medium, and large).

Each of the sorting algorithms was run across all test cases, and data was gathered for the thorough comparison of its performance. This experiment aims to identify the algorithm with the fastest execution time under various conditions, and thus it is the best to include in the Java library.

The results are based on median performance of many repetitions so that every condition gets a fair evaluation, and the efficiency of each algorithm was evaluated across many dimensions.

This experiment will confirm QuickSortGPT to be the best algorithm based on execution time.

# 1. Introduction

The topic of investigation of our experiment is the performance, more specifically the execution time required by four different sorting algorithms (the lower the better). The latter are: BubbleSortUntilNoChange, BubbleSortWhileNeeded, QuickSortGPT and SelectionSortGPT.

The motivation for this study stems from the need of a company to decide which implementation of sorting algorithm to include in the Java library they are developing.

|  |
| --- |
| **Hypotheses:** |
| QuickSortGPT is the sorting algorithm that delivers the best performance across all array types, sizes and data orderings, since it is the one with the better time complexity. Thus, it will outperform the other algorithms. |

To run this experiment, we first consider the identification of variables that can be used to influence the outcome, including those of specifications for the test machine itself.

We proceed by testing each algorithm across various data permutations and comparing the results through data analysis and graphical comparison.

The best algorithm is then determined based on median execution times across all configurations, ensuring a fair and consistent performance.

# 2. Method

The following subsections provide all essential details required to replicate the experiment accurately.

## 2.1 Variables

The independent variables (i.e. the values changed during the experiment) are the following: the sorting algorithm, the type of data, its orderings in the array and the array size.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Independent variable** | **Levels** |
| Sorting algorithm | BubbleSortUntilNoChange, BubbleSortWhileNeeded, QuickSortGPT and SelectionSortGPT |
| Data Type | Integer and String |
| Data Orderings in the array | Best case: the data in the array are already sorted  Average case: the data in the array are in random ordering  Worst case: the data in the array are reverse sorted |
| Array Size | Small: 100  medium: 1’000  Large: 10’000 |

The dependent variable (i.e. what is measured in the experiment) is the execution time of the sorting algorithms.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Dependent variable** | **Measurement Scale** |
| Execution time | Ratio scale (in ns) |

The control variable(s) (i.e., what is kept constant during the experiment) are the following:

hardware, Operating System, running applications, JDK, and warmup.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Control variable** | **Fixed Value** |
| Hardware | Model: Dell Inc. Precision 5570  Memory: 16.0 GiB  Processor: 12th Gen Intel® Core™ i7-12700H × 20  Graphics: 1: Intel® Graphics (ADL GT2)  Graphics: NVIDIA RTX A1000 Laptop GPU  Disk Capacity: 512.1 GB |
| Operating System | OS Name: Ubuntu24.04.1 LTS  OS Type: 64-bit  GNOME VERSION: 46  Windowing System: Wayland |
| Running applications | IDE and the shell |
| JDK | Java version: OpenJDK 17.0.12  IDE: IntelliJ 2024.2.4 |
| Warmup | 30 |

## 2.2 Design

**Type of Study** (check one):


|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **⃞ Observational Study** | ⃞ **Quasi-Experiment** | ⃞ **Experiment** |

**Number of Factors** (check one):

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ⃞ **Single-Factor Design** | ⃞ **Multi-Factor Design** | ⃞ Other |

The experiment we designed is neither an Observational Study nor a Quasi-Experiment, as we are not looking at a phenomenon in a systematic and scientifically rigorous way in its environment, and we have complete control over manipulation of the independent variables. Therefore, it is an Experiment.

Moreover, it follows a Multi-Factorial Design, since we have more than one independent variable in the study.

Figure 1: Experiment considering one algorithm on one data type

## 2.3 Apparatus and Materials

The relevant “props” used in this experiment are the following:

* A Dell laptop, hardware model Dell Inc. Precision 5570, with Ubuntu24.04.1 LTS as Operating Systems
* OpenJDK 17.0.12
* IntelliJ 2024.2.4
* A background process on the computer that gets automatically triggered (used to measure the time)

## 2.4 Procedure

To perform the experiment, we start our Dell laptop, we make sure all applications are closed, and we open OpenJDK and the shell.


Then, using IntelliJ as IDE and OpenJDK 17.0.12 as java version, we execute each sorting algorithm on every permutation (e.g., Figure 1) and capture the execution time through a background process. For each group, we collect 50 measurements after 30 warmup rounds. This is because relevant statistics can be computed from 30 or more data points and we observed that after the first 30 runs the execution time of the algorithms becomes stable.


After that, for each permutation, we compute the minimum, first quartile, median, third quartile, and maximum values.


Lastly, the sorting algorithm that demonstrates the best performance across all array types, sizes, and data orderings is the one with the highest number of smaller medians across all permutations.


# 3. Results


## 3.1 Visual Overview











## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 3.2 Descriptive Statistics

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | BubbleSortUntilNoChange | BubbleSortWhileNeeded | QuickSortGPT | SelectionSortGPT |
| Integer  Best case  Small | Minimum: 3706  First quartile: 3848  Median: 3992  Third quartile: 4261  Maximum: 5610 | Minimum: 3402  First quartile: 3495  Median: 3628  Third quartile: 3829  Maximum: 6846 | Minimum: 214626  First quartile: 225859  Median: 263756  Third quartile: 275306  Maximum: 489096 | Minimum: 6348  First quartile: 12888  Median: 35869  Third quartile: 37739  Maximum: 161116 |
| Integer  Best case  Medium | Minimum: 3338  First quartile: 3968  Median: 4067  Third quartile: 4103  Maximum: 5854 | Minimum: 2573  First quartile: 2981  Median: 3146  Third quartile: 3161  Maximum: 3349 | Minimum: 3161830  First quartile: 3226823  Median: 3290154  Third quartile: 3328139  Maximum: 3510895 | Minimum: 3119080  First quartile: 3138862  Median: 3146323  Third quartile: 3154399  Maximum: 3179118 |
| Integer  Best case  Large | Minimum: 32916  First quartile: 39555  Median: 40063  Third quartile: 40438  Maximum: 111983 | Minimum: 24614  First quartile: 25192  Median: 30096  Third quartile: 30382  Maximum: 100638 | Minimum: 320964236  First quartile: 328384234  Median: 330161760  Third quartile: 331295721  Maximum: 333778866 | Minimum: 319449939  First quartile: 319547036  Median: 319595375  Third quartile: 319681854  Maximum: 321996684 |
| Integer  Average case  Small | Minimum: 40750  First quartile: 42321  Median: 43577  Third quartile: 45658  Maximum: 56443 | Minimum: 78206  First quartile: 79965  Median: 81707  Third quartile: 105033  Maximum: 130469 | Minimum: 11204  First quartile: 11608  Median: 12031  Third quartile: 12397  Maximum: 13475 | Minimum: 40394  First quartile: 41391  Median: 45848  Third quartile: 68070  Maximum: 84115 |
| Integer  Average case  Medium | Minimum: 7216353  First quartile: 7248829  Median: 7274641  Third quartile: 7307326  Maximum: 7454786 | Minimum: 4977575  First quartile: 5012925  Median: 5027040  Third quartile: 5037130  Maximum: 5156499 | Minimum: 113776  First quartile: 117234  Median: 119774  Third quartile: 121864  Maximum: 136070 | Minimum: 3148598  First quartile: 3173368  Median: 3184306  Third quartile: 3192070  Maximum: 3202753 |
| Integer  Average case  Large | Minimum: 945156201  First quartile: 949892659  Median: 952158101  Third quartile: 952812825  Maximum: 965151741 | Minimum: 549391511  First quartile: 550036569  Median: 550422494  Third quartile: 550705820  Maximum: 555707871 | Minimum: 1805513  First quartile: 1833020  Median: 1843384  Third quartile: 1851597  Maximum: 1870782 | Minimum: 320345765  First quartile: 320428365  Median: 320492252  Third quartile: 320540993  Maximum: 321361416 |
| Integer  Worse case  Small | Minimum: 51180  First quartile: 120329  Median: 125789  Third quartile: 508259  Maximum: 645547 | Minimum: 32405  First quartile: 80667  Median: 84328  Third quartile: 306569  Maximum: 490241 | Minimum: 23645  First quartile: 24495  Median: 24872  Third quartile: 25365  Maximum: 38613 | Minimum: 35599  First quartile: 35946  Median: 36690  Third quartile: 162469  Maximum: 195820 |
| Integer  Worse case  Medium | Minimum: 4744419  First quartile: 4771992  Median: 4789770  Third quartile: 4807446  Maximum: 5035942 | Minimum: 2933181  First quartile: 2980955  Median: 2984164  Third quartile: 2986981  Maximum: 3032064 | Minimum: 2208503  First quartile: 2268868  Median: 2287465  Third quartile: 2336306  Maximum: 2479269 | Minimum: 3126921  First quartile: 3144908  Median: 3151546  Third quartile: 3164305  Maximum: 3431527 |
| Integer  Worse case  Large | Minimum: 475056238  First quartile: 476561603  Median: 477334915  Third quartile: 478230921  Maximum: 485408135 | Minimum: 296931038  First quartile: 297336011  Median: 297535559  Third quartile: 297729802  Maximum: 299102934 | Minimum: 226688798  First quartile: 227601658  Median: 239457925  Third quartile: 240254318  Maximum: 243336325 | Minimum: 319622658  First quartile: 319715665  Median: 319771466  Third quartile: 319793914  Maximum: 330395820 |
| String  Best case  Small | Minimum: 4128  First quartile: 4255  Median: 4317  Third quartile: 4369  Maximum: 5570 | Minimum: 3673  First quartile: 3797  Median: 3837  Third quartile: 3895  Maximum: 4589 | Minimum: 41095  First quartile: 45411  Median: 219521  Third quartile: 222180  Maximum: 234847 | Minimum: 162017  First quartile: 164207  Median: 165533  Third quartile: 167476  Maximum: 173080 |
| String  Best case  Medium | Minimum: 19778  First quartile: 21665  Median: 23756  Third quartile: 24866  Maximum: 28109 | Minimum: 18903  First quartile: 19567  Median: 20379  Third quartile: 21354  Maximum: 22514 | Minimum: 3794231  First quartile: 3812966  Median: 3827709  Third quartile: 3865023  Maximum: 3970244 | Minimum: 2336162  First quartile: 2354546  Median: 2365754  Third quartile: 2379697  Maximum: 2402021 |
| String  Best case  Large | Minimum: 313539  First quartile: 323815  Median: 338631  Third quartile: 346211  Maximum: 388585 | Minimum: 309491  First quartile: 312166  Median: 314639  Third quartile: 317782  Maximum: 331508 | Minimum: 427456747  First quartile: 428280992  Median: 428775108  Third quartile: 429358620  Maximum: 433590994 | Minimum: 245224519  First quartile: 245292563  Median: 245362692  Third quartile: 245431628  Maximum: 248413062 |
| String  Average case  Small | Minimum: 76676  First quartile: 79747  Median: 82424  Third quartile: 85691  Maximum: 99265 | Minimum: 48008  First quartile: 53225  Median: 55442  Third quartile: 59327  Maximum: 68924 | Minimum: 6583  First quartile: 7300  Median: 7795  Third quartile: 8136  Maximum: 9184 | Minimum: 29410  First quartile: 30366  Median: 30824  Third quartile: 31149  Maximum: 32590 |
| String  Average case  Medium | Minimum: 13115330  First quartile: 13268145  Median: 13345388  Third quartile: 13431233  Maximum: 14357974 | Minimum: 9246458  First quartile: 9302672  Median: 9333492  Third quartile: 9371653  Maximum: 9634803 | Minimum: 245845  First quartile: 247559  Median: 249893  Third quartile: 251986  Maximum: 255237 | Minimum: 2827600  First quartile: 2838592  Median: 2843645  Third quartile: 2849873  Maximum: 2885195 |
| String  Average case  Large | Minimum: 2956010469  First quartile: 2973015185  Median: 2980427441  Third quartile: 2986446741  Maximum: 3026133031 | Minimum: 1222328567  First quartile: 1224690506  Median: 1226000519  Third quartile: 1227157639  Maximum: 1252971557 | Minimum: 3600710  First quartile: 3618260  Median: 3640104  Third quartile: 3660832  Maximum: 3773153 | Minimum: 268789306  First quartile: 269424929  Median: 269693126  Third quartile: 269959132  Maximum: 278637050 |
| String  Worse case  Small | Minimum: 77494  First quartile: 78106  Median: 78752  Third quartile: 79015  Maximum: 83826 | Minimum: 43929  First quartile: 44235  Median: 44403  Third quartile: 44576  Maximum: 62758 | Minimum: 32339  First quartile: 32828  Median: 33191  Third quartile: 33717  Maximum: 38831 | Minimum: 28286  First quartile: 28995  Median: 29561  Third quartile: 31277  Maximum: 70238 |
| String  Worse case  Medium | Minimum: 7828365  First quartile: 7849651  Median: 7877101  Third quartile: 7902842  Maximum: 8311323 | Minimum: 4221896  First quartile: 4224543  Median: 4225490  Third quartile: 4230753  Maximum: 4245713 | Minimum: 2967740  First quartile: 2986436  Median: 3016197  Third quartile: 3023169  Maximum: 3132358 | Minimum: 2915356  First quartile: 2941025  Median: 2949147  Third quartile: 2961433  Maximum: 3027745 |
| String  Worse case  Large | Minimum: 1995038205  First quartile: 2006161706  Median: 2014484412  Third quartile: 2019364755  Maximum: 2036314834 | Minimum: 419072375  First quartile: 419359576  Median: 419556266  Third quartile: 419818804  Maximum: 440260711 | Minimum: 329300749  First quartile: 329839117  Median: 330129917  Third quartile: 330300313  Maximum: 334829769 | Minimum: 714739939  First quartile: 716416187  Median: 717251293  Third quartile: 717941155  Maximum: 725715441 |

The statistics we compute are the following: minimum, first quartile (Q1), median, third quartile (Q3) and maximum.

The minimum is the smallest value in a data set. The first quartile (also known as Q1) is the value below which 25% of the data falls. The median is the middle value of the data (when it is ordered from smallest to largest). The third quartile (also known as Q3) is the below which 75% of the data falls, and the maximum is the highest value in the set.

# 4. Discussion

## 4.1 Compare Hypothesis to Results

Our measurements shows that for the majority of the groups, QuickSortGPT has the better performance (i.e. execution time), as expected in our hypothesis.

The only permutations that are not consistent with our initial assumption are all the ones containing the already sorted array (i.e. best case). That’s because QuickSortGPT’s best case is equal to its time complexity.

## 4.2 Limitations and Threats to Validity

The main limitation in our study coincides with the values we use to represent the dimensions of the array considered (i.e. small, medium and large). Indeed, to really reflect the order of magnitude of the different sizes, our values are not so precise. The correct ones should be e.g. 100, 10’000, 100’000. Our choice was forced by memory constraints.

Despite that, our results are still reliable since the difference between 100 and 10’000 provides an effective size difference.

This problem can be solved in an environment that provides an adequate amount of memory.

## 4.3 Conclusions

This experiment underlines that, among the four candidate algorithms, QuickSortGPT is the most efficient algorithms considering execution time as only criterion for performance, with consistently lower execution times across the majority of tested configurations.

By comparing performance across a range of data types, array sizes, and orderings, QuickSortGPT was generally superior in handling various input arrays, aligning with our initial hypothesis.

Despite that, this study shows that QuickSortGPT is not the best for all the input arrays, as it performs badly on already sorted arrays due to its time complexity in the best-case scenario.

In summary, this study indicates that QuickSortGPT is the most effective algorithm for general applications where execution time is the only performance criterion.

Appendix

# A. Materials

Any documents you used for your informed consent (information sheets, consent) or as part of your apparatus (e.g., manual, hand-out), please include them here.

# B. Reproduction Package (or: Raw Data)

Before, during, and after the experiment you collected all kinds of data. Don't ever throw such data away! Any plots, tables, summaries, and statistics provided in this report should be recreatable from the raw data you have.

If you only collected a small amount of data, put it in this Appendix right here.

If you collected data in forms that are better kept in separate files, then zip up those files, and submit them as a "reproduction package" supporting this report.